

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 12/16/2002

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/981,247	10/16/2001	Leon Gurevich	7181	6011
7:	590 12/16/2002			
PAUL M. DENK			EXAMINER	
763 South New Ballas Rd. St. Louis, MO 63141		UNDERWOOD, DONALD W		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3652	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Gurevich Office Action Summary 3652 Muderwood -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status Responsive to communication(s) filed on \_\_\_\_(0) 16/02\_ 1)区 2b) This action is non-final. 2a) This action is FINAL. Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. **Disposition of Claims** 4) $\boxtimes$ Claim(s) 1-16 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) wwe is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-16 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. **Application Papers** 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on \_\_\_\_ is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some \* c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). \* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 3) X Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) Other: U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Application No.

Applicant(s)

Art Unit: 3652

## **Detailed Action**

1. The drawing is objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a) as failing to show and label a headed part (claim 5). At best a sketch of any proposed drawing change must be presented for approval. The introduction of new matter should be guarded against.

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 4. Claims 14, 15 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

These claims are narrative in form since several elements are set forth in terms of operation rather than being structurally defined and related. The claims should include the essential elements of the invention and the elements should be correlated to define an operative device. For examples of additional problems note the following comments.

Regarding claim 14, this claim should positively recite that "said machine part" is a rake. It should also correlate "a actuator rod" with the actuator rod in claim 1. Note a

Application/Control Number: 09/981,247

Art Unit: 3652

actuator should be an actuator and a should be inserted before feeding in the last line.

The feeding device should also be positively claimed.

Regarding claim 15, this claim is remiss with terms which lack antecedent basis

and are not positively correlated to define an operative device. For example note "the

plurality of packets" lacks antecedent basis and the phrase "as per determined distance"

appears to be detached from the rest of the claim.

Regarding claim 16, the processing system should be positively correlated with

the part processor in claim 1.

Claims 1-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by 5.

Nagai et al.

Loock discloses moving a plurality parts in unison by using horizontal transfer 6.

device.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to D. Underwood 7.

at telephone number (703) 308-1113.

Underwood/kl

December 13, 2002

whild Undeword 12/16/02 **PRIMARY EXAMINER** 

Page 3